



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/688,494 | 10/17/2003 | Michael Stuart Shane | ORCL5863/OID-2003-070-01 | 2193 |
| 53156 7590 03/17/2008 YOUNG LAW FIRM, P.C. 4370 ALPINE RD. STE. 106 PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028 | | | EXAMINER PUENTE, EMERSON C | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2113 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/17/2008 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/688,494

Applicant(s)

SHANE, MICHAEL STUART

Examiner

Emerson C. Puente

Art Unit

2113

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Emerson C. Puente.

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Young (Reg No. 37,970).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Duggan '871.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed difference between claim invention and prior art reference. Applicant explained that invention does not use scripts for testing, unlike prior art reference. Examiner suggested to amend claims to include not using scripts in the next response, which would overcome the rejection. Applicant agreed to include the amendment in the next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Emerson C Puente/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2113

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.